

# **Present to critics #14**

Simon P. Michaux Associate Professor Mineral Processing & Geometallurgy

**ERoEI is dodgy**

**(there is no good study)**

**(cost is usually tangled up with material needs)**









## **Scope of work done**



#### **Baseline calculation**



1.416 billion vehicles Travelled 15.87 trillion km in 2018

\* A Class 8 Truck is a heavy duty truck or semi trailer. Weight 14 969–36 287 kg (and above).







Total electrical power production in 2018 was **26 614 TWh**



8

We wish to construct an electrical system much larger than the existing power grid, using energy that is more expensive and not as effective as what we have now

This does not include coal and gas used directly by industry to generate heat for manufacture (more than half of coal)

#### **Energy mix and number of power stations**







**Note:** 1.5-S = 1.5°C Scenario; CSP = concentrated solar power; GW = gigawatts; PV = photovoltaic; RE = renewable energy; TWh/yr = terawatt hours per year; VRE = variable renewable energy.

> Figure 20. Global total power generation and the installed capacity of power generation sources in 1.5°C Scenario in 2018, 2030 and 2050 (Source: IRENA 2022, Figure 2.3, pg 61)

IRENA (2022): World Energy Transitions Outlook 2022: 1.5°C Pathway, International Renewable Energy Agency, Abu Dhabi, ISBN: 978-92-9260-429-5, https://www.irena.org/- [/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2022/Mar/IREN](https://www.irena.org/-/media/Files/IRENA/Agency/Publication/2022/Mar/IRENA_World_Energy_Transitions_Outlook_2022.pdf) A\_World\_Energy\_Transitions\_Outlook\_2022.pdf





#### **Power delivered to global grid in 2018**

#### Table 19. Maximum and minimum capacity of electrical power stations by source in 2018



Global Energy Observatory (2018): Data obtained from

[http://GlobalEnergyObservatory.org/](http://globalenergyobservatory.org/)



#### **Power delivered to global grid in 2018**

#### Table 20. Availability and power produced by average sized stations by source in 2018



Global Energy Observatory (2018): Data obtained from

[http://GlobalEnergyObservatory.org/](http://globalenergyobservatory.org/)

#### **Number of new power stations**

Total (TWh) 37,289.7

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Table 22. Energy split used and number of new power stations in this study

![](_page_12_Picture_174.jpeg)

#### **Stationary power storage buffer**

**(how big should it be?)**

# **Projected New energy split for 2050**

![](_page_14_Picture_333.jpeg)

- Global Wind & Solar capacity only (76%) = 26 220.7 TWh
- 4 weeks Wind & Solar capacity only = **2192.9 TWh**

> 48 hours + 10% (Steinke et al 2012)

4 weeks for just Wind & Solar

1 month full system (Droste-Franke 2015)

2 500 3 000

> • 48+10% hours Wind & Solar capacity only = **172.3 TWh**

ГK

#### This is the size of the needed power buffer

#### ontomnonal modalino. Hourly anonationa for **RIO** pow

Detailed short term dispatch for every sample day. Dispatch decisions are the same across all days Samples from hist represented by the same sample day.

e

NET-ZERO AMERICA

Data

Short

Term

Long

Term

2020 2025 2030

J

Time sequential long-Map sample day term storage operations across sample day dispatches. Long-term dispatch decisions are Do so for all different across days, based on long term needs.

Recommend 5-7 hours power buffer storage

or energy+the environment

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

Renew **Renew Storage+** Storage- Load

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

#### **RETURN TO TABLE OF CONTENTS**

 $\blacksquare$  Thermal

![](_page_15_Picture_8.jpeg)

14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

Storage- Load

![](_page_15_Figure_11.jpeg)

Institute

feadows Carbon **Mitigation** nmental Initiative

UNIVERSITY

**PRINCETON** 

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **European net electricity exchanges in 2021**

![](_page_16_Figure_2.jpeg)

All networks are balanced and buffered by other external networks

Almost always using fossil fuel sourced power generation (gas in particular)

Most existing renewable power grids are balance with fossil fuels systems

We have never had to run a large renewable network in a self sufficient manner

The natural gas power industry is the existing power buffer

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Average daily CSP generation, June and November 201<del>5</del> GTK

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

Mearns, E. (2015, Nov 17): A review of concentrated solar power (CSP) in Spain, Energy Matters blog, <http://euanmearns.com/a-review-of-concentrated-solar-power-csp-in-spain/>

![](_page_18_Picture_3.jpeg)

Power storage and release requirements that would have been needed to maintain a constant 670 MW of baseload generation during June and November (equivalent to 5.9 TWh per year)

Approximately 260 GWh of storage would have been needed to cover the shortfalls in November alone. This is 16.2 days of buffer capacity, to be stored for approx. 4-6 months.

#### **Wind is highly variable**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Reliable capacity as a % of max capacity for wind 7-25% (UK Parliament 2014)
	- *Power production was so erratic it could not be predicted*
- Variations in power produced can last weeks and, in some cases, months

Highly variable of when power was produced

![](_page_19_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

#### **Wind is highly variable**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

- When one region has a peak, often others do too. A peak or trough extends over a wide geographical area.
- We can't use say Germany to balance the UK as a wind farm in Germany would be having the same challenges as a wind farm in the UK

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **The full year of renewable generation capacity factors in the PJM RTO in the U.S.**

the largest regional transmission organization, directly or indirectly affecting the electricity supply to nearly 100 million people

![](_page_21_Picture_41.jpeg)

Not only are the capacity factors low, it turns out that both wind and solar capacity factors reach low points at precisely the seasonally worst possible times, wind at the peak of summer demand and solar at the peak of winter demand.

In practical terms, global power generation operating hours in 2018 (Global Energy Observatory)

- *Solar PV units produced 11.4% of the calendar year*
- *Wind units produced 24.9% of the calendar year*

### **Pumped Hydro Power Storage**

![](_page_23_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

<sup>»</sup> Newsroom » All news » ANU finds 530,000 potential pumped-hydro sites worldwide

#### ANU finds 530,000 potential pumped-hydro sites worldwide

1 APRIL 2019

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_5.jpeg)

- 1360 GW of installed hydropower in 2021
	- (Hydropower Status Report 2022)
- 164 761 MW of installed PHS
	- (Hydropower Status Report 2022)
- 530 000 possible sites
- What is the net capacity storage for each of these sites?
- Pumped hydro is about 75-81% efficient in the storage of energy
	- (Andrew Blakers et al 2021, A review of pumped hydro energy storage Prog. Energy 3 022003)

[https://www.anu.edu.au/news/all-news/anu-finds-](https://www.anu.edu.au/news/all-news/anu-finds-530000-potential-pumped-hydro-sites-worldwide)530000-potential-pumped-hydro-sites-worldwide

#### **How much fresh water?**

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

- If we take the 28 day buffer, we will need 2 192.92 TWh
- A flow of 100  $m^3$  of water per second through a turbine/generator operating at 90% efficiency in a system with a head of 570 m will yield electrical power of 500 MW
	- *(Andrew Blakers et al 2021, A review of pumped hydro energy storage Prog. Energy 3 022003)*
- So, 500 MWh will need 360 000  $\mathrm{m}^3$  of water
- Assume 81% efficiency, 500 MWh delivered to the grid will need 444 444  $m<sup>3</sup>$  of water
- So, 2 192 920 000 MWh will require 1 949 262 222 222  $m<sup>3</sup>$  of water
- **1 949.2 km<sup>3</sup>**
	- *(1 cubic meter = 1.0 × 10-9 cubic kilometers)*
- Global annual fresh water withdrawals in 2018 was 4 trillion  $m^3$  (3 990 km<sup>3</sup>)

![](_page_26_Picture_0.jpeg)

Existing global water withdrawals vs. required extra water to deliver 28 days of pumped hydro power storage

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_3.jpeg)

Global freshwater withdrawals for agriculture, industry and domestic uses since 1900, measured in cubic metres (m<sup>3</sup>) per year.

 Figure 22.7. Global freshwater use between 1900 and 2014 (Source: Hannah Ritchie and Max Roser (2017) - "Water Use and Stress". Published online at OurWorldInData.org. Retrieved from: 'https://ourworldindata.org/water-use-stress' [Online Resource])

#### **Batteries**

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

Table 15. Global market proportions of power storage chemistries in 2040 (Source: drawn from IEA 2021, Diouf & Pode 2015)

![](_page_28_Picture_164.jpeg)

#### **What battery chemistry?**

IEA (2021): The role of critical minerals in clean energy transitions. Special Report of the World Energy Outlook (WEO) team of the IEA. IEA, [Paris. 283 p. www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of](http://www.iea.org/reports/the-role-of-critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions)critical-minerals-in-clean-energy-transitions

#### Total 100,0 %

#### Table 25. Global market proportions of EV battery chemistries in 2040 (Source: IEA 2021)

![](_page_28_Picture_165.jpeg)

### **Number of technology units**

![](_page_30_Picture_267.jpeg)

**Total 2 258.1**

# **Number of technology units**

![](_page_30_Picture_268.jpeg)

- EV Batteries
- Hydrogen fuel cells
- Wind Turbines
- Solar Panels
- Power Storage Batteries

Г

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

Č r. r.

ممائد ممائد محمول

L

K

#### **Quantity of metals 28 days, 48 hours + 10%**

![](_page_32_Picture_0.jpeg)

Table 39. Total metal quantity required to manufacture one generation of technology units to phase out fossil fuels compared to 2019 global production

![](_page_32_Picture_399.jpeg)

## **Metal produced in 2019**

‡ Estimated from mining production. All other values are refining production values.

Natural flake graphite and synthetic graphite was combined to estimate total production

# **Metal in 2022 global reserves**

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_132.jpeg)

We can make batteries out of something else (Zinc, fluoride, sodium, etc.)

- For every 1000 deposits discovered, 1 or 2 become mines
- Time taken to develop a discovered deposit to a mine 20 years
- For every 10 producing mines, 2 or 3 will lose money and shut down

Quantity of metals needed to manufacture one generation of technology units to completely phase out fossil fuels 10 000.00 1 000.00 100.00 (Million Tonnes) (Million Tonnes) 10.00 1.00 0.10 0.01 Copper Nickel Lithium Cobalt Graphite Vanadium ■ Total metal required produce one generation of technology units to phase out fossil fuels (28 days buffer) **Total metal required produce one generation of technology units to phase out fossil** fuels (48 hours + 10% buffer) Reported Global Reserves 2022 to be replaced and source: USGS Global Metal Production 2019

Remember,

units.

this is for just the

first generation of

They will wear out in

**10 to 25 years**, after

which they will need

35

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### No data on reserves or resources

36

#### **Scenario N**

### **a 6 hour buffer + 90% reduction of passenger cars + 3x build out of wind & solar**

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **The 6 hour buffer – Scenario N** • The passenger car fleet is cut back to 10% (automated

![](_page_37_Figure_2.jpeg)

Global Metal Production 2019

- AI shared fleet)
- The commercial van fleet is cut by 30% (so now 70% of the commercial van fleet are doing what the whole fleet does now)
- The distance travelled in a calendar year is the same (so now 1/10th of the cars are running flat out doing the same tasks for existing passenger car fleet)
- Heavy trucks, buses, rail and maritime shipping are unchanged
- The power storage buffer is cut back to 6 hours
- There is a 3X build out of wind and solar installed capacity
- Power needs outside the transport fleet are the same

![](_page_38_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Scenario N – Number of Tables**

![](_page_38_Picture_185.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **The 6 hour buffer vs production – Scenario N**

![](_page_39_Picture_1.jpeg)

**Scenario N** 

![](_page_40_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **The 6 hour buffer vs Reserves – Scenario N**

![](_page_40_Picture_130.jpeg)

#### **Scenario N**

- These numbers calculate what is needed for just one generation of tech units
- In  $10 50$  years we do it all again, replacement units (recycling?)

#### **Scenario M**

## **All batteries are made without lithium using a variant of NMC 532 chemistry**

### **All batteries are made without lithium – Scenario M**

![](_page_42_Figure_1.jpeg)

fossil fuels (48 hours + 10% buffer) ■ Reported Global Reserves 2022

- All batteries are made without lithium. This is using a variant of the NMC 532 Battery chemistry
- Based on Elon Musks Master Plan 3 2023 Investor Day [\(https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hl1zEzVUV7w](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Hl1zEzVUV7w) )
- So, the metals needed for all batteries will be based on NMC532, except lithium. In a tip of the hat to Musk and his team, it is assumed that the mass of the battery is smaller, thus the mass shortfall of removing lithium is not projected onto the remaining metals. This is to reflect an advance in materials engineering, with a lighter battery mass per kWh.

![](_page_43_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Scenario M - Reserves**

![](_page_43_Picture_97.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **All batteries are made without lithium – Scenario M**

![](_page_44_Picture_229.jpeg)

## **Comparison of Scenario's**

10 000 100 000 1 000 000 10 000 000 100 000 000 1 000 000 000 10 000 000 000 Copper Nickel Lithium Cobalt Graphite Vanadium (tonnes) Quantity of metal to phase out fossil fuels, comparison of Scenarios ■ Total metal required produce one generation of technology units to phase out fossil fuels (28 days buffer) ■ Total metal required produce one generation of technology units to phase out fossil fuels (48 hours + 10% buffer) ■ Scenario N (10% passenger cars + 6 hour power buffer + 3x solar wind buildout) ■ Scenario M (all batteries are made without lithium + 28 day power buffer) Scenario NM (Hybrid) ■ Reported Global Reserves 2022 Global Metal Production 2019 Remember, this is for just the first generation of units. They will wear out in **10 to 25 years**, after which they will need to be replaced

**Substitution of aluminium into copper applications**

#### **The are some material science issues to overcome**

![](_page_48_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Greater thermal expansion is a problem (joints), and one can imagine that connects in solar panels might cause more early failures.
- However, Al is only about 60 % as conductive as Cu. Aluminium has a higher specific electrical resistivity than copper.
- Aluminium is far more prone to become brittle and corroding. It's liable to crack and break, especially where there is movement and vibration involved. It's less flexible and doesn't take kindly to being bent round tight corners.
- Aluminium also prone to galvanic corrosion (copper isn't) which can lead to a lot of problems.
- Aluminium wire requires a 56% larger cross-section than copper for same current carrying capability.
- Aluminum also has a higher voltage drop over time.
- To avoid galvanic corrosion serious risk factor especially in damp conditions aluminum requires a special compound at termination connector points (or an Al-Cu lug). More expense, higher risk.

**Hydrogen for power storage**

![](_page_50_Figure_0.jpeg)

Figure 19.27. Production and use of 1kg of hydrogen in the proposed Hydrogen Economy (Image: Simon Michaux) (Data taken from EIA)

![](_page_51_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Production of hydrogen as a power storage**

- If we take the 28 day buffer, we will need 2 192.92 TWh
- Each 1 kg of  $H_2$  can produce 15 kWh of electricity
- So, 146.2 million tonnes of  $H_2$  is needed annually to deliver the 2 192.92 TWh
- It requires 52.5 kWh to produce 1kg of hydrogen
- 7 675.2 TWh are needed to produce the hydrogen (29% of 2018 electrical power consumption)
	- *This is a 20.6% increase on the annual need for power for Green Transition simulation*
- 124 934 number of stations (using the same IEA 2050 energy mix split)
- Power storage for wind and solar will be needed for this as well

![](_page_52_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **Number of new power stations to support storage**

Table 4. Estimated number of new power stations and installed capacity to globally support stationary power storage with hydrogen production

![](_page_52_Picture_224.jpeg)

#### **Burning iron powder as power storage**

#### **(what might work to replace batteries)**

#### Iron Power – a circular and CO2 free & low NOx energy carrier

Solution: Green Iron Power; green energy storage, transport & import complementary to H2 & NH3

Circular, renewable, no CO2, low NOx, no water consumption

![](_page_54_Figure_4.jpeg)

Iron power provides a high temperature heat (up to  $1000$  °C) for industrial and household applications

## **Make Batteries out of something else**

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_1.jpeg)

Figure 28.2. Overview of the different anode/cathode combinations in terms of specific capacity and volumetric energy density (Source: Gschwind *et al* 2016)

![](_page_57_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_57_Figure_1.jpeg)

Figure 28.1. Comparison of theoretical gravimetrical energy density to theoretical volumetric density for several battery chemistries (Source: Witter 2021)

![](_page_58_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### **To use these new battery chemistries**

- My work mapped out the plan as it was in 2020, as perceived by the European policy makers
	- *The outcome mapped out the quantity and type of metals needed to replace the existing system*
	- *It was apparent that the mining industry was probably not going to be able to deliver the needed quantities for multiple basic metals*
- Any new plan should be required to past this fundamental milestone
	- *How much metal will be needed, what kind, where do we get it*
- What is the metal content of a sodium battery (cathode/anode/electrolyte) in units of kg/MW?
	- *So far a commercial secret*

•**Simon P. Michaux** •**Associate Professor Geometallurgy** •**Circular Economy Solutions simon.michaux@gtk.fi**  •**gtk.fi**

# **Kiitos & Thank you**